

## Check-list for HE CSA actions - single-stage submission procedure

The check-list was developed as a tool for NCPs to help them to review the project proposals in order to help the applicants to develop their project proposals in higher quality. There are included all the conditions / questions published in the WP General Annexes, Application form and Evaluation form.

However the questions published in the Evaluation form are the most important - as the evaluators are evaluating the project proposals based on the evaluation form. Therefore the questions from the Evaluation form are highligted in bold. So in case there is not enough time to check all single questions, the NCPs can check only the questions from the Evaluation form.

This check-list is a living tool, so it will be updated always when needed and helpful.

Find more information on the project on our website <a href="https://www.net4society.eu">www.net4society.eu</a>.

Project website address: www.net4society.eu



Source	Part	Question	YES	NO	Comments
WP - General Annexes	A – Admissibility	Correct application form (downloaded from the inside of the electronic submission system)			
		Full application completed, including all parts, mandatory annexes and supporting documents			
W		Applications readable, accessible and printable			
	B – Eligibility	Applicants established in EU Member State, Associated Country or, if provided for in the specific call conditions, in another third country			
		Consortium includes at least 1 independent legal entity from EU Member State and at least 2 other independent legal entities from different Member			
		States or Associated Countries			
		Project incudes only eligible activities (described in the call conditions and focused on civil (non-military) applications)			
		Fullfillment of special eligibility conditions, if applicable			
		Gender equality plan developed and published on the organisation's website (obligatory for public			
		bodies, research organisations or higher education establishments from EU Member States and Associated Countries)			
	E – Documents	Application form Part A			
		Application form Part B			
	F - Procedure	Extra evaluation criteria applicable			



٤	Formal criteria	The structure of the template followed	
loj (		Page limit 30 pages (the title, list of	
<u>ti</u>		participants and sections 1, 2 and 3,	
cal		together including all tables, figures,	
Application form		references and any other elements)	
<b>V</b>		All instruction pages removed	
		No hyperlinks used in the text	
		Font for the body text Times New	
		Roman (Windows platforms),	
		Times/Times New Roman (Apple	
		platforms) or Nimbus Roman No. 9 L	
		(Linux distributions)	
		The minimum font size 11 points	
		Standard character spacing	
		Minimum of single line spacing	
		Page size A4	
		Margins (top, bottom, left, right) at least 15 mm	
٤	1. Excellence	Clarity of the project's objectives (e.g.	
for		Can a non-subject expert understand	
uo		what is written after one reading? Is the	
luation form		text jargon-free?)	
Eval		Pertinence of the project's objectives	
·		Quality of the proposed coordination	
		Quality of support measures	
		Soundness of methodology	
Ε	1.1 Objectives	Brief description of the objectives of the	
forr		proposed work	
ion		Description why the objectives are	
Application form		pertinent to the work programme topic	
Арр		Are the objectives measurable and verifiable?	



	Are the objectives realistically achievable?		
1.2 Coordination	Description of the coordination and/or support measures		
and/or support measures and methodology	d Description of the overall methodology, including the concepts models and		
	Explanation how the methodology will enable to deliver the project's objectives		
	Reference to the challenges identified in the chosen methodology and how to overcome them		
	Description how the project methodology complies with the 'do no significant harm' principle, if relevant (if not relevant, explanation why the 'do no significant harm' principle is not applicable/relevant)		
	Description how appropriate open science practices are implemented as an integral part of the proposed methodology, if relevant (explain how the project supports the research done by community more broadly). Provided justification if none of these practices are appropriate for the project		
	Description how the choice of open science practices and their implementation are adapted to the nature of the work (provided justification if none of these practices are appropriate for the project)		
	Research data management and management of other research outputs - how the data/research outputs will be managed in line with the FAIR principles (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable,		



		Reusable) or how it supports the research	
Evaluation form	2. Impact	Credibility of the pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts specified in the work programme	
Evaluat		Scale and significance of the contributions due to the project	
ш		Suitability and quality of the measures to maximise expected outcomes and impacts, as set out in the dissemination and exploitation plan, including communication activities	
ion form	2.1 Project's pathways towards impact	Description how the project results contribute to the outcomes specified in the topic	
Application form		Description how the project results contribute to the wider impacts, in the longer term, specified in the respective destinations in the work programme	
		Identification of the target groups that would benefit	
		Explanation (where relevant) how the potential harm can be managed	
		Indication of the scale and significance of the project outputs and impact to the expected outcomes ('Scale' refers to how to widespread the outcomes and impacts. 'Significance' refers to the importance, or value, of the benefits.)	
		Quantified estimates if possible and meaningful	
		Explanation of the baselines, benchmarks and assumptions used for the estimates	



		T T	
	Quantification of the estimation of the effects expected from the project (if relevant)		
	Description of the requirements and potential barriers arising from factors beyond the scope and duration of the project (e.g. uncertainty about the uptake of policy recommendations through the ruling governments)		
	Indication of factors that might evolve over time (if relevant)		
	Description of the proposed mitigating measures		
2.2 Measures to maximise	Description of the planned measures to maximise the impact of the project		
impact - Dissemination, exploitation and communication	A first version of the plan for the dissemination and exploitation of project results including communication activities		
	Description of the dissemination, exploitation and communication measures		
	Description of how the communication measures promote the project throughout the full project, inform and reach out to society and show the activities performed, and the use and the benefits for citizens (strategically planned activities, clear objectives, statement of the main messages, tools and channels)		
	Measures are proportionate to the scale of the project containing concrete actions to be implemented both during and after the end of the project (e.g. standardisation activities)		
	Description of the target group(s) addressed (e.g. scientific community,		



	actors, public at la administrations at schools, cultural in artists)	different levels, estitutions, CCI, possible follow-up of	
	Explanation why e suited to reach the addressed	each measure is best e target group	
	Description of the commercialise the relevant)	-	
	the European Unio	the exploitation is in on's interest if it is y in non-associated	
	monitoring, review necessary) existing programmatic me	enerated by the ontribute to designing, ving and rectifying (if g policy and asures or shaping and plementation of new	
	and foreseen prot (patents, design ri	ection measures ghts, copyrights, trade now these would be	
it cohe	rent with well-explained	thway present and	
part or impac			



Evaluation form	3. Implementation (Is it coherent with excellence and impact part?)	Dissemination, exploitation and communication measures applied to the results  Target groups (use of further up-take of results, benefit from the results)  Outcomes (expected change after successful dissemination and exploitation of results)  Impacts - expected wider scientific, economic and societal effects (e.g. the project results contribute to restore democratic values)  Quality and effectiveness of the work plan  Assessment of risks  Appropriateness of the effort assigned to work packages (e.g. SSH partners in leading roles, present in all work packages, various EU Member States spread throughout work packages)  Appropriateness of the resources assigned to work packages, and overall  Capacity and role of each participant  Extent to which the consortium as a whole brings together the necessary expertise (involvement of stakeholders from different disciplines and backgrounds)	
Application form	3.1 Work plan and resources	Brief presentation of the overall structure of the work plan	
Appli		Timing of the different work packages and their components (Gantt chart or similar)	



	Graphical presentation of the components showing how they interrelate (Pert chart or similar)	
	Detailed work description:	
Table 3.1a: List of work packages	List of work packages	
Table 3.1b: Work package description	Description of all work packages	
Table 3.1c: List	List of Deliverables	
of Deliverables	The number of WPs proportionate to the scale and complexity of the project	
	The proposed resources in each WP justified	
	Quantified information for progress monitoring	
	Resources assigned to WPs in line with their objectives and deliverables	
	WP on 'project management' (visibility to data management by consoritum)	
	WP on 'dissemination and exploitation, communication activities'	
	Data management plan (a template available	
	in the Online Manual on the F&T Portal)	
	Plan for dissemination and exploitation including communication activities	
	Update of the 'plan for the dissemination and exploitation of results including communication activities', and a 'data management plan' planned	



		-	
	Section 3 matches the costs in the budget and the number of person months planned in the WP descriptions		
Table 3.1d: List of milestones	List of milestones		
Table 3.1e: Critical risks for implementation	List of critical risks for implementation  Details of any risk mitigation measures		
Table 3.1f: Summary of staff effort	Table showing number of person months required		
Table 3.1g: 'Subcontracting costs' items	Table showing description and justification of subcontracting costs for each participant		
Table 3.1h: 'Purchase costs' items (travel and subsistence, equipment and other goods, works and services)	Table showing justifications for 'purchase costs' for participants where those costs exceed 15% of the personnel costs (travel and subsistence, equipment and other goods, works and services)		
Table 3.1i: 'Other costs categories' items (e.g. internally invoiced goods and services)	Table showing justifications for 'other costs categories' items (e.g. internally invoiced goods and services)		
Table 3.1j: 'Inkind contributions' provided by third parties	Table showing in-kind contributions from third parties		
3.2 Capacity of participants and	Description of the consortium (how it matches the project's objectives, and bring together the disciplinary and interdisciplinary knowledge / trans-		



	consortium as a whole	disciplinary nature of a consortium, i.e. the involvement of stakeholders from civil society, public administration, economy, policy)	
		Expertise of the consortium in social sciences and humanities, if relevant	
		Expertise of the consortium in open science practices, if relevant	
		Expertise of the consortium in gender aspects of R&I, if relevant	
		Affiliated entities and associated partners, if any	
		Access to critical infrastructure needed for project	
		Complementarity of the members (the value chain, where appropriate)	
		Contribution of each member to the project (valid role of each partner, and adequate resources)	
		Industrial/commercial or other stakeholder involvement (if necessary) involvement in the project to ensure exploitation of the results (consistency with the specific measures proposed for exploitation of the results - section 2.2)	
		Explanation of essential participation of other countries and international organisations (not automatically eligible for funding)	
Evaluation form	Other	Scope of the application - this application is 'in scope' because it corresponds, wholly or in part, to the topic description against which it has been submitted	



Exceptional funding for third country participants / international organisations - description of their essential participation in the project	
Do the activities have an exclusive focus on civil applications (activities intended to be used in military application or aims to serve military purposes cannot be funded)?	
Do the activities proposed involve the use and/or development of Al-based systems and/or techniques? (if yes, the technical robustness of the proposed system must be evaluated under the appropriate criterion)	